

**Environmental Risk Screening Tool for Manure Facilities at Confined Feeding Operations
Version 1.2 – September 2011**

(Information on how to complete this form is available in a companion document.)

Facility 1 Name: Lagoon 1 - east (existing) Facility 2 Name: Lagoon 2 - west (existing) Facility 3 Name: _____

Legal Land Location: NE 10-009-27 W4 CFO name: Muilwijk, Arie & Willemina

Screening Completed By: Scott Cunningham Date Completed: December 9, 2020 - revised

NOTE- Each facility should be scored individually

HAZARD POTENTIAL

Manure Type

Solid Manure	4			
Runoff water with manure constituents (e.g., catch basin contents)	10			
Liquid Manure	20	Score:	<input type="text" value="20"/>	<input type="text" value="20"/>
			<input type="text"/>	

Annual Manure Amount (tonnes)

>60,000	8			
40,000 to 60,000	5			
20,000 to <40,000	2			
<20,000	1	Score:	<input type="text" value="1"/>	<input type="text" value="1"/>
			<input type="text"/>	

Total Hazard Potential Score (maximum 28):

PATHWAY

GROUNDWATER

General comments and overall scoring criteria

If there is a water well directly located within the manure storage area, score the groundwater section as high risk.

If the above condition does not exist, continue scoring the groundwater section.

To help score the next two factors, complete the following and provide a sketch if possible:

Depth of storage below grade	<u>2.5</u>	<u>2.5</u>	_____ (A)
Depth to top of Protective Layer below grade	<u>1.0</u>	<u>1.0</u>	_____ (B)
Depth to bottom of Protective Layer below grade	<u>2.7</u>	<u>2.7</u>	_____ (C)
Thickness of Protective Layer	<u>0.2</u>	<u>0.2</u>	_____ (D)
Depth of UGR below grade	<u>2.7</u>	<u>2.7</u>	_____ (E)
Depth to UGR from the bottom of the facility	<u>0.2</u>	<u>0.2</u>	_____ (F)

Notes:

Uppermost Groundwater Resource (UGR)

Depth to UGR (m) (from the bottom of the facility)	Subsoil Texture		
	Fine - Medium	Coarse	Very Coarse
>30	1	4	7
8 - 30	2	5	8
<8	3	6	10

Score:

Protective Layer(s) (PL) Between Bottom of Facility and UGR

- Score is 20 if the storage is constructed into the UGR

Thickness of Protective Layer(s) (m)	Subsoil Texture		
	Fine	Medium	Coarse – Very Coarse
>10	1	3	8
5 - 10	4	6	12
2 - <5	6	9	16
<2	8	12	20

Score:

Liner Type

- Meets AOPA liner or protective layer requirements 1
- Concrete liner – no specs 2
- May meet AOPA requirements 15
- Does not meet AOPA requirements 20

Score:

Notes

Water Well Risk Scoring

Complete the table below for each water well within 400 m of the reference point identified. If the well is upslope of the facility, the well should be given a score of 1.

The “Highest Risk Water Well” is the well with the highest score.

Depth to top of open interval in water well (m)	Distance to Water Well (m)			
	>100 to 400	60 to 99	30 to 59	<30
>100m	1	2	3	4
30-100m	5	6	7	8
<30m	9	10	12	15
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If well annulus filled with cuttings, add 3 points • If well has a drive shoe seal, add 5 points • If well has no seal or the nature of the seal is unknown, add 8 points. 				

Well I.D.	115735											
Score	14	14										
Well I.D.												
Score												

Highest Risk Water Well (highest score from wells scored above):

Score:

Infiltration Potential

Predominant Soil type	Average Annual Precipitation (mm)		
	<400	400-600	>600
Fine	1	2	
Medium	3	4	
Coarse	5	6	8

Score:

Special Considerations (Allowable range of -8 to +8 with a total score for this section not to go over or under the allowable range). *Score is 0 if there are no special considerations*

Special consideration examples:

- Pumping rate of nearby water well (concern is that even if the well is upslope, a cone of depression may develop which could draw in contaminated water)
- Presence of any springs that have the potential to be impacted by the CFO.
- Water well in pit
- Certainty of information (ie. remove points for high quality of information, is not intended to be used for low quality of info)
- Additional points may be added if there are multiple wells that score high in the water well risk scoring criteria

Score:

If a special consideration(s) is used, describe:

Total Groundwater Pathway Score (maximum score 81):

EXPOSURE POTENTIAL

GROUNDWATER

If no water wells are completed within 400m of the confined feeding operation facility being assessed, use an exposure potential factor of 1

If one or more water wells located within 400m of the confined feeding operation facility, but greater than 100m from the confined feeding operation facility, use an exposure potential factor of **1.1**

If one or more water wells located within 100m of the confined feeding operation facility, use an exposure potential factor of **1.2**

Hazard Potential Score 21 + Groundwater Pathway Score 66 = 87 x Exposure Potential Multiplier 1.1 = Risk Score 95.7

Hazard Potential Score 21 + Groundwater Pathway Score 66 = 87 x Exposure Potential Multiplier 1.1 = Risk Score 95.7

Hazard Potential Score 0 + Groundwater Pathway Score 0 = 0 x Exposure Potential Multiplier = Risk Score 0

Risk Level	Hazard Potential Score + Groundwater Pathway Score (maximum score – 109)
High Potential <i>Risk to the Environment</i>	>90
Moderate Potential <i>Risk to the Environment</i>	70 – 90
Low Potential <i>Risk to the Environment</i>	<70

If you checked off the following in the groundwater section, indicate here as well.

If there is a water well directly located within the manure storage area, score the groundwater section as high risk.

Notes

PATHWAY

SURFACE WATER

General comments and overall scoring criteria

- If body of water is known to be upslope of the facility, score the surface water section as low risk.*
- If no water body within 800 m, score the surface water section as low risk.*
- If the facility is located less than 1 m (in elevation) above the 1 in 25 year floodplain level, score the surface water section as high risk.*

If none of the above conditions exist, continue scoring the surface water section.

Likelihood of Runoff Reaching a Water Body

Horizontal Distance to Water Body	Slope of land from facility to water body (%)			
	<4	4 - <6	6 - 12	>12
>100m	1	2	3	4
30-100m	2	3	4	5
<30m	3	4	5	6

Score:

Surface Water Runoff

Predominant Soil type	Average Annual Precipitation (mm)		
	<400	400-600	>600
Coarse	1	2	
Medium	3	4	
Fine	5	6	8

Score:

Surface Water Run-on Control

- All upslope surface water diverted around the facility 0
- Most upslope surface water diverted (>80% - 99%) 1
- Minimal upslope surface water diverted (<80%) 5

Score:

Manure Impacted Area Runoff Control

- No yard runoff (e.g., covered facility) 0
- All runoff controlled 4
- Most runoff controlled (>80% - 99%) 10
- Minimal control of lot runoff (<80%) 20

Score:

Runoff Flow Path between Facility and Receiving Body of Water

Type of Yard Runoff Flow	Vegetation Cover	
	> 50% Vegetated	< 50% Vegetated or Frozen
Dispersed flow	1	4
Channelled flow	7	15

Score:

Notes

Special Considerations (Allowable range of -5 to +5 with a total score for this section not to go over or under the range). *Score is 0 if there are no special considerations*

Special consideration examples:

- Secondary containment
- Amount of freeboard
- Above ground earthen storage
- Certainty of information (ie. remove points for high quality of information, is not intended to be used for low quality of info)

Score:

If a special consideration(s) is used, describe:

When scoring the surface water section of the tool choose runoff water with manure constituents for solid manure facilities.

Additional score of **6** for solid manure storage

Total Surface Water Pathway Score (maximum score 54):

Notes

SURFACE WATER

- If highest use surface water body (with the greatest number of types of users) located within 800m of the confined feeding operation facility being assessed is a small slough or creek on private land but not a common body of water, use an exposure potential factor of 1
- If highest use surface water body (with the greatest number of types of users) located within 800m of the confined feeding operation facility being assessed is a common body of water with little human use (within 10 miles downstream), use an exposure potential factor of 1.1
- If highest use surface water body (with the greatest number of types of users) located within 800m of the confined feeding operation facility being assessed is a high use common body of water (recreation, water supply, etc.), use an exposure potential factor of 1.2

Hazard Potential Score 21 + Surface water Pathway Score 9 = 30 x Exposure Potential Multiplier 1.0 = Risk Score 30

Hazard Potential Score 21 + Surface water Pathway Score 9 = 30 x Exposure Potential Multiplier 1.0 = Risk Score 30

Hazard Potential Score 0 + Surface water Pathway Score 0 = 0 x Exposure Potential Multiplier = Risk Score 0

Risk Level	Hazard Potential Score + Surface Water Pathway Score (maximum score – 82)
High Potential <i>Risk to the Environment</i>	> 58
Moderate Potential <i>Risk to the Environment</i>	44 – 58
Low Potential <i>Risk to the Environment</i>	<44

If you checked off the following in the surface water section, indicate here as well.

- If body of water is known to be upslope of the facility, score the surface water section as low risk.
- If no water body within 800 m score the surface water section as low risk.
- If the facility is located less than 1 m (in elevation) above the 1 in 25 year floodplain level, score the surface water section as high risk.

