Technical Document LA24033

Part 2 — Technical Requirements



NRCB USE ONLY	Application number		nd description
Approval Registration Authorization Amendment	LA24033	SE 13	-4-25 W4M
PPLICATION DISCLOSURE			-
his information is collected under the authority of the $A_{\mathcal{G}}$ ovisions of the <i>Freedom of Information and Protection</i> of itten request that certain sections remain private.			
ny construction prior to obtaining an NRCB permit osecution.	is an offence and is subject to	enforcement a	ection, including
the applicant, or applicant's agent, have read and unde ovided in this application is true to the best of my know		I acknowledge	that the information
July 12 2024 lite of signifig	s		
Hutt Bren - West Raley Co	lony DAVID	WAldn	or
ENERAL INFORMATION REQUIREMENTS			
Proposed facilities: list all proposed confined feeding proposed facilities are additions to existing facilities. (at	-	nsions. Indicate	whether any of the
Proposed facilities		1	mensions (m) , width, and depth)
lanure storage room attached to broiler barn		35+	#5 Rt
		(10.7 m x	x 13.7 m)
Existing facilities: list ALL existing confined feeding o	peration facilities and their dimen	sions	
Existing facilities	Dimension (length, width,		NRCB USE ONLY
ee next page			
NRCB USE ONLY		May ja	
A A	II facilities confirmed		

Existing permitted facilities

LA18019	Dairy barn - 43.0 m x 117.3 m (141 ft. x 385 ft.)
LA16010	Hog barn - 43.0 m x 91.4 m
LA15021	Duck barn with attached shed - 36.8 m x 15.3 m
LA11023	 Feedlot pens - 330 m x 72 m Catch basin - 50 m x 30 m x 2.5 m deep
52-2001	Slurry tank - 1.9 million gallon US
Other grandfathered facilities:	 Hog barn 1 - 80 m x 14 m Hog barn 2 - 47 m x 15 m Chicken broiler barn - 53 m x 10 m Chicken layer barn - 63 m x 12 m (now used for pullets) Dry cow pens with shelter - 68 m x 140 m (approx. irregular shape)

LA19028 Layer barn – 76.2 m x 32 m



Application under the Agricultural Operation Practices Act for a confined feeding operation, manure collection area, and/or manure storage facility(ies)

a new facility is replacing an old facility, please explain what will happen to the old facility and when.	☑ N/A
December 2026	
struction completion date for proposed facilities	
ditional information	
	V. T.

Livestock numbers: Complete only if livestock numbers are different from what was identified in the Part 1 application. Note: if livestock numbers increase in your Part 2 application, a new Part 1 application must be submitted which may result in a loss of priority for minimum distance separation (MDS).

Livestock category and type (Available in the Schedule 2 of the Part 2 Matters Regulation)	Permitted number	Proposed increase or decrease in number (if applicable)	Total
chicken layers	16000		16000
broilers	4100		4100
Ducks	800		800
Geese	200		200
beef finishers	1100	2 12 2	1100
Dairy (plus associated livestock)	120		120
sows ff	250		250
AO comm	nent: No increase in	animal numbers propose	d





Application under the Agricultural Operation Practices Act for a confined feeding operation, manure collection area, and/or manure storage facility(ies)

OPTION 1: Applying through the NRCB for both the AOPA permit and the Water Act licence

DECLARATION AND ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF APPLICANT CONCERNING WATER ACT LICENCE

issued by Alberta Environment and Parks (AEP) for a confined feeding operation (CFO)

Date and sign one of the following four options

oign	ned thisday of, 20	Signature of Applicant or Agent
OPT	TION 2: Processing the AOPA permit and Water	Act licence separately
		rater licence from AEP under the Water Act for the development or activity
2.	, ,	plication independently of AEP's processing of the CFO's application for a
3.		s AOPA application is granted by the NRCB, the NRCB's decision will not be FO's eligibility for a water licence under the <i>Water Act</i> .
4.		s to populate the CFO with livestock pursuant to an AOPA permit in the to AEP's consideration of whether to grant the Water Act licence application
5.		vestock populating will be at the CFO's sole risk if the <i>Water Act</i> licence is otherwise deemed to be in violation of the <i>Water Act</i> . This risk includes
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	se further construction, or to remove "works" or "undertakings" (as defined
6.	AS RELEVANT: I (we) acknowledge that the CFO is	s located in the South Saskatchewan River Basin and that, pursuant to the Water Allocation Order [Alta. Reg. 171/2007], this basin is currently closed
	to new surface water allocations.	
Sign	ned this day of, 20	
		Signature of Applicant or Agent
Sign	ned this 12 day of $10/y$, $20/24$.	pplicant or Agent
ODT	FTON 4: Uncertain if Water Act license is made	Is advantable amount of sigh (for oxisting CEOs and)
		I; acknowledgement of risk (for existing CFOs only) ter licence is needed from AEP under the Water Act for the development or
2.		t that the NRCB process the AOPA application independently of AEP's
3.	In making this request, I (we) recognize that, if thi	s AOPA application is granted by the NRCB, the NRCB's decision will not be CFO's eligibility for a water licence under the <i>Water Act</i> .
4.	I (we) acknowledge that any construction or action	s to populate the CFO with additional livestock pursuant to an AOPA permit levant to AEP's consideration of whether to grant my Water Act licence
5.	I (we) acknowledge that any such construction or I application is denied or if the operation of the CFO	ivestock increase will be at the CFO's sole risk if the Water Act licence is otherwise deemed to be in violation of the Water Act. This risk includes ase further construction, or to remove "works" or "undertakings" (as defined
6.	AS RELEVANT: I (we) acknowledge that the CFO i	s located in the South Saskatchewan River Basin and that, pursuant to the Water Allocation Order [Alta. Reg. 171/2007], this basin is currently closed
Sign	ned this day of, 20	
		Signature of Applicant or Agent



Application under the Agricultural Operation Practices Act for a confined feeding operation, manure collection area, and/or manure storage facility(ies)

Existing:	Layer barn					manure storage		
Propose	d 2:			Propose	d 3:			
Facili	ty and environmental risk		Faci	lities		NRCB USE ONLY		
	information	Existing	Proposed 1	Proposed 2	Proposed 3	Meets requirements	Comments	
Flood plain information	What is the elevation of the floor of the lowest manure storage or collection facility above the 1:25 year flood plain or the highest known flood level?	/ >1 m	☑ >1 m □ ≤1 m	□ >1 m □ ≤1 m	□ > 1 m □ ≤ 1 m	X YES NO NO YES with exemption	not in known flood plain	
Surface water information	How many springs are within 100 m of the manure storage facility or manure collection area?	none	none			YES NO YES with exemption	None observed during sit visit or on EPA database	
	How many water wells are within 100 m of the manure storage facility or manure collection area?	none	none			YES NO YES with exemption	None observed during sit visit or on EPA database	
os ≔	What is the shortest distance from the manure collection or storage facility to a surface water body? (e.g., lake, creek, slough, seasonal)	184 m	190 m			YES NO YES with exemption	150 m to side arm of St Mary reservoir	
water	What is the depth to the water table?		>2 m			YES NO YES with exemption	confirmed	
Groundwater	What is the depth to the groundwater resource/aquifer you draw water from?	.>10 m	> 10 m			YES NO YES with exemption	confirmed (drilling for feed -Approval LA11023)	

Additional information (attach supporting information, e.g. borehole logs, records, etc. you consider relevant to your application)

Well 119003, no UGR indicated. Depth 115 m

Last updated February 26, 2021

The dry cow pens are within 100 m of a CBW. The risk to surface water identified for this facility is mitigated with an on-going condition in Approval LA19028



All facilities were scored in 2016 in conjunction with LA16010. All facilities scored low for facilities groundwater and surface water. The risk to surface water from the existing dry cow pens is discussed above. All new facilities pose a low risk to surface water and groundwater	Facility	T for <u>proposed</u> facilities See Decision Summary for detail							
discussed above. All new facilities pose a low risk to surface water from the existing dry cow pens is		Groundwater score	Surface water score	File number					
discussed above. All new facilities pose a low risk to surface water from the existing dry cow pens is									
discussed above. All new facilities pose a low risk to surface water from the existing dry cow pens is									
discussed above. All new facilities pose a low risk to surface water from the existing dry cow pens is									
discussed above. All new facilities pose a low risk to surface water from the existing dry cow pens is									
discussed above. All new facilities pose a low risk to surface water from the existing dry cow pens is									
discussed above. All new facilities pose a low risk to surface water from the existing dry cow pens is									
discussed above. All new facilities pose a low risk to surface water from the existing dry cow pens is									
discussed above. All new facilities pose a low risk to surface water and groundwater									
Facility Groundwater score Surface water score File number	<u>distina</u> facilities grounds discusse	water and surface water. The ri	sk to surface water from the a low risk to surface water	existing dry cow pens is and groundwater					
	Facility	Groundwater score	Surface water score	File number					



NRCB USE ONLY WATER WEL		WATER INFORMATI	ON							
Well IDea	Well ID 119003	3 (not within 400 m of a	ny MSF/MCA)							
Well IDs:			<u>· </u>							
Surface water rel	Surface water related concerns from directly affected parties or referral agencies: ☐ YES ☒ NO									
Groundwater rela	ted concerns from di	rectly affected parties or refe	rral agencies:	☐ YES X NO						
Water wells	N/A N/A									
If applicable, exe	mption for 100 m dis	tance requirements applied:	YES NO Condition	required: YES NO						
Surface water	N/A N/A									
If applicable, exe	mption for 30 m dista	ance requirements applied: \square	YES NO Condition	required: YES NO						
Water Well Exe	mption Screening T	ool N/A								
Wate	er Well ID	Preliminary Screening	Secondary Screening	Facility						
		Score	Score							
Groundwater or surface water related comments:										



Application under the Agricultural Operation Practices Act for a confined feeding operation, manure collection area, and/or manure storage facility(ies)

DISTANCE OF ANY MANURE STORAGE FACILITY (EXISTING OR PROPOSED) TO NEIGHBOURING RESIDENCES

			1000		NRCB USE ONL	Y	MARKET STATE
Neighbour name(s)	Legal land description	Distance (m)	Zoning (LUB) category	MDS category (1-4)	Distance (m)	Waiver attached (if required)	Meets regulations
Dave McLaren	NE 8-4-24	2500	AG	1	2852 m		yes
Scott Davis	Blood Reserve	1700	AG	1	1668 m		yes

LAND BASE FOR MANURE AND COMPOST APPLICATION (complete only if an increase in livestock or manure production will occur)

				NRCB US	EONLY
Name of land owner(s)*	Legal land description	Usable area** (ha)	Soil zone ***	Usable area (ha)	Agreement attached (if required)
	ψ.		Total	NA	

^{*} If you are **not** the registered landowner, you must attach copies of land use agreements signed by all landowners.

Additional information (attach any additional information as required)

^{**} Available manure spreading area (excluding setback areas from residences, common bodies of water, water wells, etc. as identified in Agdex 096-5 Manure Spreading Regulations)

^{***} Brown, dark brown, black, grey wooded, or irrigated



NRCB USE ONLY				
MINIMUM DISTANCE SEPARATI	ON			
Methods used to determine distance (if appl		google	earth	
Margin of error (if applicable): +/- 3 m				
Requirements (m): Category 1: 642 m	Ca	tegory 2:	856 m	Category 3: 1071 m Category 4: 1713 m
Technology factor:				☐ YES 🔼 NO
Expansion factor:				☐ YES ☒ NO
MDS related concerns from directly affected	parties o	or referra	l agencie	es: YES 🔼 NO
LAND BASE FOR MANURE AND O	СОМРО	ST AP	PLICA	TION
Land base required:			IA > no	o in crosses in manufacture are duction are a seed
Land base listed:		ľ	VA> 110	o increase in manure production proposed
Area not suitable: Available area				Requirement met: YES NO
				Requirement met. 🗖 TES 🗖 NO
Land spreading agreements required:	YES	□ NO		
Manure management plan:	☐ YES	□ NO		If yes, plan is attached: \square
PLANS				
		_	_	
Submitted and attached construction plans:		X YES		
Submitted aerial photos:		X YES	□ NO	
Submitted photos:		☐ YES	X NO	
GRANDFATHERING				
Already completed:		X YES	□NO	□ N/A
If already completed, see <u>Approval LA1</u>	1023			



NRCB USE ONLY				
ALL SIGNATURES	IN FILE	∑YES □NO		
DATES OF APPRO	VAL OFFICER SITE \	/ISITS		
July 2, 2024				
		I		
CORRESPONDENC	E WITH MUNICIPAL	LITIES AND REFE	RRAL AGENCIE	s
Date deeming letters ser				
Municipality:Carc	dston County			
✓ letter sent	☐ response received	☐ written/email	☐ verbal	no comments received
Alberta Health Service	es: NA			
☐ letter sent	☐ response received	☐ written/email	☐ verbal	\square no comments received
Alberta Environment a	and Parks:			
X letter sent	response received		☐ verbal	no comments received
Alberta Transportation	n: 🗆 N/A			
X letter sent	response received	▼ Written/email	□ verbal	☐ no comments received
Alberta Regulatory Se	_			
☐ letter sent	response received	_	□ verbal	□ no comments received
	☐ response received	□ written/email	□ Verbai	ino comments received
Other: Blood Tribe				I/A
X letter sent	response received	☐ written/email	□ verbal	no comments received
Other:Alta Link Man	agement Ltd			
_	_	_		_
X letter sent	response received	☐ written/email	☐ verbal	no comments received



Application under the Agricultural Operation Practices Act for a confined feeding operation, manure collection area and/or manure storage facility(ies)

	ID MANURE, COMPOS	ST, & COMPOSTING M	ATERIALS: Barns, feed	lots, & storage facilities -
(com		r EACH barn, feedlot, and sto	orage facility for solid manure, co	omposting materials, or compost with
	lity description / name (as		. Manure Sto	age Room
		-	2.	
Man	ure storage capacity		Donth holow grade to the	NDCD LICE ONLY
	Length (m)	Width (m)	Depth below grade to the bottom of the liner (m)	NRCB USE ONLY Estimated storage capacity (m³)
1.	35 ft (10.6m)	45 ft (13.7m)	0	
2.	V	<i>V</i>		
			TOTAL CAPACITY	
				approx. 300 m ³
2	Inder Roof			
	r protection			
Des	cribe how the physical integr	ity of the liner will be maintain	ned	
			NRCB USE ONLY	
			R	equirements met: YES NO



Application under the Agricultural Operation Practices Act for a confined feeding operation, manure collection area and/or manure storage facility(ies)

SOLID MANURE, COMPOST, & COMPOSTING MATERIALS: Barns, feedlots, & storage facilities Concrete liner (cont.) Concrete liner details Concrete thickness Method of sulphate protection:

Concrete unickness	Method of Sulphate protection.					
	T / \cdot \cdot					
hin	11 ho. 50					
Concrete strength	Concrete reinforcement size and spacing					
Concrete strength	Concrete remiorcement size and spacing					
(25.15)	01 12 24 20 20					
25 CM $(25$ MPa $)$	Robon 10 MM 16 C-0					
Concrete requirements can be found in Technical Guideline Agdex 096-93 NRCB USE ONLY						
Guideline minimums:	**					
Solid manure: 25MPa (D)						
Solid manure (wet): 30MPa (C)	Condition required: X YES NO					
Method of sulphate protection: Type 50 or Type 10 with fly ash or equivalent						
Type 50 St. Type 20 West ily ask of equivalent	Report attached: YES X NO					
Additional information (attach as required)						
NRCB USE ONLY						
There is also short term manure storage available						
	에 가게 되었다. 얼마 없다는데 맛있다면 이 등이 되었다면 하는 아니라는데 이번 가게 되었다면 하는데					
Nine month manure storage volume requirements met	YES YES With STMS NO					
Depth to water table: > 2 m	Requirements met: YES NO					
Dooth to University and the bound of the bou	Requirements met: YES NO					
Depth to Uppermost groundwater resource: > 10 m	Requirements met: YES NO					
ERST completed: See ERST page for details						
Surface water control systems						
Requirements met: YES NO Details/comments:						
Requirements free. 2 125 2 No Details/comments.						
Concrete liner details						
Submission of concrete specs required to prove that concrete specs have been met						
Leakage detection system required: YES NO If yes, please explain why.						



